# BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF:	)	
JOSEPH & VICTORIA MORRISSEY,	)	
Complainants,	)	
v.	)	PCB 09-10
	)	1000
GEOFF PAHIOS and ALPINE AUTOMOTIVE,	)	
,	j	
Respondents.	)	

#### **NOTICE OF FILING**

To: Joseph & Victoria Morrissey
32 S. Chestnut Court
Hawthorn Woods, Illinois 60047

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on January 26, 2010, the undersigned filed with the Clerk of the State of Illinois Pollution Control Board, James R. Thompson Center, 100 W. Randolph St., Suite 11-500, Chicago, Illinois 60601, the Respondents' Motion to file Reply, instanter and proposed reply to the Respondents' Reply to Complainants' Response to Motions in Limine.

Paul J. Oleksak Attorney At Law 100 Atkinson Road, Suite 110F Grayslake, Illinois 60030 (847) 543-9000 Attorney Number

Bruce A. Slivnick Attorney At Law 707 Lake Cook Road, Suite 316 Deerfield, Illinois 60015 (847) 714-0503 Attorney Number 6181410

### **Certificate of Service**

Bruce A. Slivnick, an attorney hereby certify that I served this Notice and Motion for Leave to File Reply and Proposed Reply to Complainants' Response to Motions in Limine to each person to whom it is directed by depositing the same in the Regular First Class U.S. Mail from Deerfield, Illinois 60015 prior to 5:00 p.m. on January 26, 2010 and by e-mail.

# BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF:	)	
JOSEPH & VICTORIA MORRISSEY,	)	
Complainants,	)	
v.	)	PCB 09-10
GEOFF PAHIOS and ALPINE	)	
AUTOMOTIVE,	)	
Respondents.	)	

# MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE REPLY TO COMPLAINANTS' RESPONSES TO MOTIONS IN LIMINE

NOW COMES the Respondents, GEOFF PAHIOS and ALPINE AUTOMOTIVE, INC. improperly noted as ALPINE AUTOMOTIVE, by and through their attorneys, Paul J. Oleksak and Bruce A. Slivnick pursuant to Rule 101.500(e) of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, 35 Ill. Admin Code 101.500(e) (Westlaw 2010) and moves the Honorable Hearing Officer to enter an order granting them leave to file a reply relative to their Motions in Limine, and in support thereof states:

- 1. The Complainants' Response to the Motion in Limine raise facts and legal arguments related to those facts that are disputed by the Respondent. A reply is necessary in order to allow the Complainants a full and fair opportunity to challenge those facts and raise the requisite related legal arguments. Furthermore, the allowance of a reply is needed to create a full record as to the parties positions in this matter.
- 2. This is particularly apparent relative to the Second Motion in Limine. The reply to the Complainants' Response is needed to make note of a misstatement that the Respondents claim

that the Complainants made during the October 22, 2009 teleconference as to their intentions relative to their expert witness.

- 3. The Complainants' Response to the Respondents Witness List requests certain relief.

  The failure of the Complainants to make this request in the form of a Motion in Limine denies the Respondents an opportunity to respond to this request for relief in the absence of a reply.
- 4. Denial of the Respondents' request will materially prejudice the Respondents for each of the above stated reasons.

WHEREFORE, the Respondents, GEOFF PAHIOS and ALPINE AUTOMOTIVE, INC. improperly noted as ALPINE AUTOMOTIVE respectfully pray that this Hearing Officer enter an Order granting the Respondents leave to file a Reply to the Complainants' Response to Motions in Limine, instanter.

GEOFF PAHIOS and ALPINE AUTOMOTIVE, INC.

One of Respondents' Attorneys

Paul J. Oleksak Attorney At Law 100 Atkinson Road, Suite 110F Grayslake, Illinois 60030 (847) 543-9000 Attorney Number

Bruce A. Slivnick Attorney At Law 707 Lake Cook Road, Suite 316 Deerfield, Illinois 60015 (847) 714-0503 Attorney Number 6181410

# BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF:	)	
	)	
JOSEPH & VICTORIA MORRISSEY,	)	
	)	
Complainants,	)	
	)	
V.	)	PCB 09-10
	)	
GEOFF PAHIOS and ALPINE	)	
AUTOMOTIVE,	)	
	)	
Respondents.	)	

# REPLY TO COMPLAINANTS' RESPONSES TO MOTIONS IN LIMINE

NOW COMES the Respondents, GEOFF PAHIOS and ALPINE AUTOMOTIVE, INC. improperly noted as ALPINE AUTOMOTIVE, by and through their attorneys, Paul J. Oleksak and Bruce A. Slivnick and as and for their Reply to the Complainants' Responses to Complainants' Motions in Limine states as follows:

- 1. Relative to the Complainants First Motion in Limine, the Respondent relies on the rights of his neighbors to make statements as non-party participants that live in the Complainants' neighborhood. Under Rule 101.628 of the Pollution Control Board, this right for the participants to make a statement is not absolute. The Motion in Limine is requesting that the Honorable Hearing Officer exercise his discretion and deny statements from participants not specifically named by the Complainants in their discovery answers. 35 Ill. Admin Code 101.628 (Westlaw 2010).
- A. In its written interrogatories, the Respondents explicitly separately sought the names and addresses of each witness and of individuals with knowledge of the claimed noise pollution.

(Interrogatories Nos. 2 and 3) The Complainants also asked for the names of witnesses and none were named. (Interrogatories No. 22) The Complainants witness list for the hearing also does not list any specific names of neighbors other than Frank Gambino. See Excerpts from Answers to Interrogatories and Witness List attached as **Exhibit A** and incorporated herein by reference.

B.. The Complainants' Response is a clear indication that their intention has been to flood these proceedings with participation by neighbors without having to explicitly disclose their names so as to circumvent the Respondents rights to conduct full fledged discovery. There can be no question that the Complainants knew the names and addresses of neighbors in the subdivision who may be participants. However, they neither disclosed their names nor later supplemented their responses. Rule 101.616 of the Pollution Control Board explicitly refer to the Illinois Code of Civil Procedure and the Illinois Supreme Court Rules relative to discovery. 35 Ill. Admin Code 101.616 (Westlaw 2010).

In this regard, Illinois Supreme Court Rule 213 has been held to require strict compliance by the parties. This is to allow the litigants the have a full opportunity to ascertain the scope and nature of their adversaries case in order to avoid surprise. Bill Marek's the Competitive Edge, Inc. v. Mickelson Group Inc., 346 Ill. App. 3d 996, 1007, 806 N.E.2d 280, 288 (2nd Dist. 2004). Additionally, parties are under an obligation to supplement their answers once additional information becomes known. Clayton v. County of Cook, 346 Ill. App. 3d 367, 377-378, 805 N.E.2d 222, 232 (1st Dist. 2003). Here the Complainants are engage in tactical gamesmanship by failing to disclose individuals that they know may have relevant information and then try to have that information presented as a participant statement. The discovery rules are explicitly designed to defeat such gamesmanship and eliminate surprise. Garden View, LLC v. Fletcher, 394 Ill. App. 3d 577, 588, 916 N.E.2d 554, (1st Dist. 2009). If the Honorable Hearing Officer were to

exercise his discretion and allow any lay witnesses other than the Complainants and the Gambinos to testify, the Complainants deliberate and purposeful disregard for the discovery rules would be rewarded and the Respondents' will have been denied their opportunity to fully and properly prepare their defense. <u>In re: Estate of Andernovics</u>, 311 Ill. App. 3d 741, 745, 725 N.E.2d 382, 385 (3<sup>rd</sup> Dist. 2000).

- 2. With regard to the oral testimony of Greg Zak, the Complainants consistently made representations to the Respondents counsel that they did not intend to call Mr. Zak as a witness. While it is true that the Respondents elected not to take Mr. Zak's deposition, that decision was based on the representation by the Complainants that Mr. Zak was not going to testify orally at the hearing. In fact, during the October 22, 2009 teleconference at which the Respondent indicated that Mr. Zak's deposition would not be taken, Mr. Morrissey and/or his attorney explicitly indicated during that teleconference that Mr. Zak would not be providing oral testimony at the hearing. Had Mr. Morrissey not made that representation during the teleconference, the Respondents would have taken Mr. Zaks deposition.
- 3. Relative to the third Motion in Limine, the Respondents maintain that the video tapes were undated and/or inaccurately dated. Furthermore there is nothing to indicate the method of recording as such no appropriate foundation can be established as to said recording as and they should be excluded.
- 4. The Respondents stand on their initial Motion in Limine No. 4 and the matters stated therein.
- 5. The Complainants' Motion to Strike or Clarify the Respondent's Witness List should have been made as a Motion rather than merely part of the Complainants' Response. This is designed to obtain a ruling without providing the Respondents an opportunity to respond. In this

regard, the Complainant is explicitly stating the names of those witnesses that they believe may be needed to support its defense. To some extent the need for each and every witness may depend on the evidence produced during the Complainants' case in chief. The exclusion of some of these witnesses would be dependent on the evidence adduced by the Plaintiffs. As opposed to the Complainants the Respondents are being explicit and preventing surprise by providing the names of each of its potential witnesses. Parties often make last minute decisions not to call certain named witnesses as part of their case and that is not considered subterfuge. In fact, the Respondents actions explicitly prevent any surprise as required by the discovery rules.

WHEREFORE, the Respondents, GEOFF PAHIOS and ALPINE AUTOMOTIVE, INC. improperly noted as ALPINE AUTOMOTIVE respectfully pray that this Hearing Officer enter an Order at the onset of the hearing herein in accordance with the Complainants previously filed Motions in Limine and for such further and other relief deemed just and reasonable under the circumstances.

GEOFF PAHIOS and ALPINE AUTOMOTIVE, INC.

One of Respondents' Attorneys

Paul J. Oleksak Attorney At Law 100 Atkinson Road, Suite I10F Grayslake, Illinois 60030 (847) 543-9000 Attorney Number

Bruce A. Slivnick Attorney At Law 707 Lake Cook Road, Suite 316 Deerfield, Illinois 60015 (847) 714-0503 Attorney Number 6181410

1 HAK 01/13

## Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office, January 26, 2010

State of Illinois
Pollution Control Board
James R. Thompson Center
100 W. Randolph Street, Suite 11-500
Chicago, Illinois 60601
http://www.ipcb.state.cl.us/

### BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

In The Matter Of:	)		
Joseph & Victoria Morrissey	) )		
Complainant(s),	)		
٧.	)	PCB	09 - 10 (For Boerd use only)
Geoff Pahios and Alpine Automotive, Inc	)		(1 Of Doding also only)
Respondent(s)	)		

Response to Written Discovery Request

1. State the name of the Complainants answering and, if different, give the full name and address of the individual signing the answers, and the capacity in which said person is signing the answers.

#### ANSWER:

Joseph and Victoria Morrissey 32 S. Chestnut Ct. Hawthorn Woods, Il 60047

2. State the full name and address of each person who witnessed or claims to have witnessed the occurrences (alleged noise pollution) alleged in the Complaint

#### ANSWER:

Frank and Karen Gambino 23 S. Chestnut Ct

Hawthorn Woods, Il 60047

Lakewood meadow is a subdivision with 60 homes encircled with a common area and a bike/walking path. All residents would potentially be witnesses, but at this time the complainants are undecided on using witness testimony to prove the noise violations other than the above.

3. State the full name and address of each person not named (in Answer to Interrogatory Number 2) above who was present or claims to have knowledge of the noise pollution

EXHIBIT A

complained of in the Complainants complaint.

#### ANSWER:

As the noise violations are an ongoing issue, there are too many people to list. As stated, the complainants are undecided on using witness testimony to prove our case. We would be happy to provide witnesses if requested by the Respondents.

4. The dates and times of any and/or all occurrences of the noise pollution complained of in the Complainants' Complaint.

#### ANSWER:

As the noise violations are an ongoing issue, there are too many to list. We are providing a copy of our written logs as well as pictures and video which have dates and times.

6. Were any photographs, slides or motion pictures, video and/or audio recordings taken of the scene of the occurrence or of the persons involved? If so, state the date or dates and times on which such photographs were taken, the subjects thereof, who took said photographs and who has custody of them at the present time.

#### ANSWER:

We are including a USB drive of photographs and video taken. Most are date and time stamped. All pictures and video were taken by the Complainants and have been in the complainant's possession at all times.

7. Do you or your attorneys have any statements from any witness or party other than yourself or, if a corporation, of anyone other than an officer, director, managing agent or foreman?

If so, state:

- (a) The name and address of each such party or witness;
- (b) The date of each of said statements;
- (c) Whether such statement is typewritten, handwritten or oral, or by court reporter, and state the name and address of the person who typewrote, hand-wrote or was the court reporter.

### 01/25/2010 19:21 Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office, January 26, 2010

PAUL J OLEKSAK

State in detail each factual observation or finding and each conclusion drawn based upon 20. defendant's inspection of the area, measurement of noise level, and/or other observations relating to the source of any alleged noise pollution.

#### ANSWER:

Who is the defendant?

State the name, address and occupation of each individual who has inspected, measured 21. the noise levels or tested the area in question relative to noise or who has performed any simulation studies, experiments, or other tests of any kind relevant to this Complaint and describe each such inspection, measurement, test, simulation, and/or study.

#### ANSWER:

Have not received report from Greg Zak at this point Have had several police officers and other village officials on site. All have agreed that the IPCB should be the governing body on this.

- 22. Pursuant to the Illinois Supreme Court's revised Rule 213, furnish the identities and addresses of witnesses who will testify at trial, and provide the following information:
- Lay Witnesses. Please identify the subjects on which the witness will d) testify;
- Independent Expert Witnesses. Please identify the subjects on which the d) witness will testify and the opinions you expect to elicit.
- Controlled Expert Witnesses. Please identify the following: e)
  - (ii) the subject matter on which the witness will testify;
  - the conclusions and opinions of the witness and bases therefor, (iii)
  - the qualifications of the witness; and (iv)
  - (v) any reports prepared by the witness about the case.

#### ANSWER:

At this time the Complainants are undecided on using witnesses other than Greg Zak of Noise solutions and Frank Gambino. It is our understanding that there is a separate discovery for

# ©1/25/2010 19:21 262-857-4900 PAUL J DLEKSAK PAGE Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office, January 26, 2010

expert witnesses.

Potential witnesses would be village officials from both villages, both Police Chiefs, area neighbors and Lakewood Meadow Association officials.

> Respectfully submitted, Joseph & Victoria Montssey

Victoria Morrisscy

#### CERTIFICATION

I, Joseph Morrissey, on oa	th or affirmation,	state that I	have read t	the foregoing	and that
it is accurate to the best of	my knowledge.				

Subscribed to and sworn before me

209

My commission expires:

OFFICIAL SEAL IL GOVERNORS NOTARY PUBLIC, WATE OF ILLINOIS EY COUNTS SIGN EXEMES 10-21-2040 }

# PAUL J OLEKSAK PAGE Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office, January 26, 2010

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, on oath attached formal complaint a	or affirmation, state that on nd notice on the respondent by	, 20	_, I served the
	tified mail (attach copy of receipt if avai st file receipt later with Clerk)	ilable, otherwise	you
	istered mail (attach copy of receipt if ava st file receipt later with Clerk)	iilable, otherwise	you
	ssenger service (attach copy of receipt if a most file recelpt later with Clerk)	f available, other	wise
	sonal service (attach affidavit if available affidavit later with Clerk)	, otherwise you r	nust
at the address below:			
RESPONDENT'S ADDRES	S:		
Name			
Street			
City, state, zip code (list each respondent's nam	e and address if multiple respondents)		
	Complainant's signature		***
	Street		
	City, state, zip code	. ,	
Subscribed to and sworn be	fore me		
thisday			
of, 20_			
Notary Public			
My commission expires:			

State of Illinois
Pollution Control Board
James R. Thompson Center
100 W. Randolph Street, Suite 11-500
Chicago, Illinois 60601
http://www.ipcb.state.il.us/

#### BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

In The Matter Of:	)	
	)	
	)	
Joseph & Victoria Morrissey	)	
(Insert your name(s) in the space above)	)	
Complainant(s),	į	
	)	505050040
٧.	)	PCB 20 09-10 -
	)	(For Board use only)
	)	
Geoff Pahios	)	
Alpine Automotive	•	
(Insert name(s) of alleged polluter(s))	)	
Respondent(s)	ý	

### Witness and Exhibit List

Now come the complainants, Joseph and Victoria Morrissey, and disclose there list of witnesses and exhibits for the hearing on this matter currently set for February 23, 24, 25<sup>th</sup>, 2010:

### <u>Witnesses</u>

Joseph and Victoria Morrissey 32 S. Chestnut Ct Hawthorn Woods, II 60047 847-726-1377

Frank & Karen Gambino 28 S. Chestnut Ct Hawthorn Woods, II 60047 847-438-8595

Geoff Pahois 24675 W. August Lane Lake Zurich, Il 60047 847-526-0474

Tom Thunder Roger Harmon 1278 W. Northwest Highway Palatine, IL 60067 847-359-1068

Greg Zak Noise Solutions by Greg Zak, Inc 1800 Providence Lane Springfield, II 62711 217-698-3507

### **Exhibits**

Opinion Letter by Greg Zak	6-Mar-09	Document
Noise Log		Document
Transcript – Dec 20, 2004 VOLZ Plan Commission mtg	20-Dec-04	Document
Feb 2 2005, VOLZ Mtg minutes	2-Feb-05	Document
March 2, 2005 VOLZ Plan Commission minutes	2-Mar-05	Document
Daily Herald Article	17-Oct-07	Document
Lake County Letter	12-Oct-07	Document
VOLZ Special Use Permit Application Jan 5 2005	5-Jan-05	Document
9-28-07 Threat posted online	28-Sep-07	Document
CDC Report on Impact Wrench	Online	Document
Jan 5, 2005 VOLZ Application for Site Plan Approval	5-Jan-05	Document
March 2, 2005 VOLZ Plan Commission Minutes	2-Mar-05	Document
Oct 12, 2007 Letter from Lake County Health Dept	12-Oct-07	Document
Landscape Plan Edited 2-08-05	8-Feb-05	Document
Dixon Letter	15-Aug-07	Document
Landscape inspection, Shawn Walkington	25-Sep-09	Document
Village ordinance 4-2-1-7 Deliveries		Document
VOLZ Ordinance 4-2-1-3 Public Nuisances		Document
Greg Zak - Meter calibration	9-Jul-08	Document
Oct 2007 Mayor Hunt letter to Lake Zurich	15-Oct-07	Email
Donna Lobaito email	27-Dec-06	Email
Dave Remus Email	1-Aug-07	Email
July 1 2008 Email to residents	1-Jul-08	Email
Email to Keith Hunt Sept 25th 2008	25-Sep-08	Email
Email from Bob Vitas	18-Apr-08	Email

Email to Pres Tolomie	15-Jan-08	Email
Email response from Pres Tolomie	9-Nov-07	Email
Email to Pres Tolomie, 2nd request for mtg	7-Nov-07	Email
Email to Pres Tolomie, request for Cooling off period	22-Oct-07	Email
Email from Margo, Tom Thunder	26-Jan-09	Email
Ariel Photograph #1	1-Oct-09	Photo
Ariel Photograph #2	1-Oct-09	Photo
Photo of tree removal May 2007	1-May-07	Photo
Photo of existing tree line	1-Feb-07	Photo
Picture of Opening South Door	4-Sep-08	Photo
Picture of Geoff Pahios Car	Oct 16, 2009	Photo
Sept 19 <sup>th</sup> 2008 Police Report Pahios threats	19-Sep-08	Police Report
Sept 26 <sup>th</sup> , 2008 Police report	26-Sep-08	Police Report
Video - Dec 1, 2008 VOLZ Trustee Mtg	1-Dec-08	Video
Video Examples of Noise		Video
Application for Amendment to Zoning Code	5-Jan-05	Document

Documents and Video sent via registered mail. .

CERTIFICATION  (optional but encouraged)
n, on oath or affirmation, state that I have read the foregoing and that it is accurate to the best of my knowledge.  (Complainant's signature)
Subscribed to and sworn before me
thisday
of, 20
Notary Public
My commission expires: